The Processes of Melt Differentiation in Arc Volcanic Rocks: Insights from OIB-type Arc Magmas in the Central Mexican Volcanic Belt
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Andesite petrogenesis is inextricably linked to plate processing at convergent margins. The details of andesite formation, however, remain poorly understood because the signatures of the initial arc mantle melts are often modified in the overlying crust. To distinguish initial mantle melt signatures in arc magmas, we studied two compositionally zoned Holocene monogenetic volcanoes, Texcal Flow and Volcan Chichinautzin, in the central Mexican Volcanic Belt (MVB). Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin erupt ‘ocean island basalt (OIB)-type’, high-Nb (17–36 ppm), olivine-phyric basalts to basaltic andesites (49–57 wt % SiO2; Mg# = 68–50) that show an arc affinity in their major element oxides. At both volcanoes melt SiO2 increases with time. However, systematic changes of melt SiO2 with 87Sr/86Sr and 143Nd/144Nd, the overall low 87Sr/86Sr = 0·70305–0·70453 and high 143Nd/144Nd = 0·51273–0·51299 relative to continental crust, and the high 3He/4He = 7–8 Ra of olivine phenocrysts preclude melt silica enrichment by crustal assimilation and fractional crystallization. Instead, the data require the existence of silicic initial mantle melts. The high Ni abundances of olivines suggest that the silicic melts originate from segregations of ‘reaction pyroxenites’ that formed in the peridotite mantle wedge following multiple infiltrations of silicic slab components. Sequential melting of zoned silica-deficient to silica-excess pyroxenites can reproduce the time-progressive evolution of melt silica content at Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. As initial melts always have high Mg# > 70 regardless of their SiO2 content, the low-Mg# values of the magmas erupted must reflect loss of moderate amounts...
(<15%) of olivine and possibly pyroxenes at crustal levels. Fractional crystallization and recharge mixing nearly erase all mantle signatures in the most silicic V. Chichinautzin magmas, so that their origin can only be inferred from their association with the more mafic precursory melts. The pyroxenite model implies that ~15–18 wt % of the erupted melt mass, and possibly more, is slab-derived. We infer that the elements Fe, Mg, Ca and Ti are principally mantle-derived, whereas significant amounts of the elements Si, K, Na, P and possibly Al may be contributed from slab. As blends of mantle and slab materials, the OIB-type T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas provide limited indication of the composition of the sub-arc mantle prior to subduction modification, which is inferred to be similar to primitive mantle, but less enriched than the sources of the intraplate magmas behind the MVB volcanic front.
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INTRODUCTION

The major element composition of andesitic magmas in subduction zones is distinct from that of magmas erupted at mid-ocean ridges and intraplate volcanoes (e.g. Gill, 1981; Tatsumi & Eggins, 1995). Because major element oxides make up >99% of the melt mass, understanding the causes of this difference is essential for calculating the chemical fluxes associated with arc magmatism (e.g. Plank & Langmuir, 1993; Straub et al., 2011a). However, the petrogenesis of arc andesites remains contested. One group of models proposes that basaltic melts are generated in the sub-arc mantle, and that andesites form subsequently in the overlying crust by fractional crystallization and assimilation of silicic crustal material (e.g. Eichelberger, 1978; Gill, 1981; Leeman, 1983; Hildreth & Moorball, 1988; Plank & Langmuir, 1988; Tamura & Tatsumi, 2002; Ammon et al., 2006; Streck et al., 2007; Reubi & Blundy, 2009). Other models favor the formation of initial silicic arc melts beneath the Moho, for which various mechanisms have been proposed. These range from slab melting (Kay, 1978; Defant & Drummond, 1990), to hydrous melting of peridotite (Baker et al., 1994; Hirose, 1997; Blatter & Carmichael, 1998b; Moore & Carmichael, 1998; Carmichael, 2002), to hybridization of slab and mantle materials by mafic rock reaction processes (Yogodzinski et al., 1994, 1995; Kellemen, 1995; Rapp et al., 1999; Kelemen et al., 2003, 2004; Gómez-Tuena et al., 2007a; Straub et al., 2011a). Of course, these various models could apply to different degrees in different arcs, and even within a single arc, depending on the subduction parameters.

The key to distinguishing among these petrogenetic models of melt generation lies in unraveling the processes of differentiation that affect arc magmas between their formation and eruption. This is a difficult task, as crustal differentiation is a complex and multi-stage process (e.g. Leeman, 1983; Hildreth & Moorball, 1988; Plank & Langmuir, 1988; Reubi & Blundy, 2009; Kent et al., 2010). Moreover, it has become increasingly clear that even arc magmas erupted in close temporal and spatial proximity can have different genetic roots (e.g. Wallace & Carmichael, 1999; Schaaf et al., 2005). A particularly promising approach to understanding the processes of melt differentiation is the investigation of a magma series from a single volcano–magma system that was erupted during a single volcanic episode. Such series are probably genetically linked, and least affected by the diverse processes of melt differentiation. This approach has widely been used for understanding the formation of high-silica magmas by means of studies of compositionally zoned ignimbrites (e.g. Robin et al., 1994; Freundt & Schmincke, 1995). However, ignimbrites are rarely basaltic. Monogenetic volcanoes provide the opportunity to take such an approach for mafic magma systems (e.g. Reiners, 2002), particularly in the Mexican Volcanic Belt where mafic monogenetic volcanoes are unusually abundant in comparison with other arcs (Luhr & Carmichael, 1985; Mc Birney et al., 1967, Marquez et al., 1999; Blatter et al., 2001; Siebe et al., 2004a).

We present the results of a detailed chemical study of two zoned Holocene monogenetic volcanoes (T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin) that erupted within ~100 years and ~6 km of each other in the central Mexican Volcanic Belt (MVB) (Fig. 1). T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin erupt basaltic and basaltic andesitic magmas, respectively, with an overall silica range from 49.4 to 57.3 wt % (Fig. 2; Siebe et al., 2004a, 2004b; this study). The eruptive sequence can be reconstructed for each volcano and documents the compositional evolution of the magmas (Siebe et al., 2004a, 2004b; this study). Although the chemistry of the T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas has been studied previously (Wallace & Carmichael, 1999; Siebe et al., 2004a; Straub et al., 2008, 2011a), we have expanded this work by fully characterizing each of the eruptive units based on bulk-rock major elements, trace elements, 87Sr/86Sr and 143Nd/144Nd, and previously published data on olivine compositions (Straub et al., 2008, 2011a). The simple field relationships and the comprehensive chemical characterization allow us to unravel the causes of major element diversity of magmas erupted through the continental crust of the central MVB.

GEOLoGICAL BACKGROUND

Central Mexican Volcanic Belt

The geological setting of the Mexican Volcanic Belt (MVB) has been described in detail elsewhere (e.g. Gómez-Tuena et al., 2007b; Ferrari et al., 2011). Here, only a short summary pertinent to this study is given. The MVB is an active Pliocene–Quaternary volcanic arc related to the subduction of the Cocos and Rivera plates
along the Middle America Trench (Fig. 1). Crustal thickness reaches \( \sim 45 \) km in the central section between the composite volcanoes Popocatepetl and Nevado de Toluca (100°–98°30’W; Perez-Campos et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010) where the arc is constructed on a sialic crust of Proterozoic granulites and Mesozoic metapelites, granites and limestones (Gómez-Tuena et al., 2007; Ortega-Gutiérrez et al., 2008). The Middle America trench runs

---

**Fig. 1.** Maps of the central Mexican Volcanic Belt. (a) Monogenetic volcanoes of the Sierra Chichinautzin Volcanic Field (SCVF) flanked by the Quaternary composite volcanoes Nevado de Toluca and Popocatepetl. Slab contours in inset after Pardo & Suarez (1995); (b) Enlargement of the central SCVF showing the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. Map, volcanic stratigraphy and volcano ages from Siebe et al. (2004a, 2004b) and this study.
obliquely at an angle of \(\sim 17^\circ\) to the MVB, in response to the horizontal subduction of the slab between \(\sim 100\) and \(250\) km from the trench (Fig. 1). In the central MVB, at \(\sim 280\) km from the trench, the slab steepens abruptly to dip \(65^\circ-75^\circ\) beneath the arc front. Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin are located \(\sim 90\) km above the slab at the volcanic arc front (Perez-Campos et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010).

The central MVB erupts basaltic to dacitic magmas that include calc-alkaline magmas as well as ‘ocean island basalt (OIB)-type’ arc magmas, also referred to as ‘high-Nb arc magmas’, or ‘high-Nb arc basalts’ (HNAB). (e.g. Verma, 1999; Wallace & Carmichael, 1999; LaGatta, 2003; Schaaf et al., 2005). The dominant calc-alkaline series display strong enrichment of large ion lithophile elements (LILE) relative to rare earth elements (REE) and high field strength elements (HFSE). This forms one end-member in compositional space, with the other end-member being the far less abundant OIB-type arc magmas. These are mildly alkaline basalts to basaltic andesites with high Nb = 16–35 ppm and Nb/La \(\sim 1\) and enriched trace element patterns similar to those of intra-plate magmas (Verma, 1999; Wallace & Carmichael, 1999; Schaaf et al., 2005). OIB-type arc magmas cannot be derived from the continental crust and hence testify that mantle melts may ascend through the thick continental basement nearly unchanged (Wallace & Carmichael, 1999). OIB-type MVB magmas are often considered to best represent melts of the unmetasomatized Mexican subarc mantle. However, incipient relative depletions of Nb and Ta and enrichments of fluid-mobile LILE that develop with increasing melt SiO\(_2\) content indicate the influence of a crustal component, which may be derived from either the subducted slab or the continental basement (Wallace & Carmichael, 1999; Gómez-Tuena et al., 2003, 2005; Goñi et al., 2003, 2010).

**Fig. 2.** Major element oxides (in wt %) vs bulk-rock Mg# number (= molar ratio of [Mg/(Mg + Fe\textsuperscript{2+})], calculated using 18% ferric iron after Straub et al., 2008). Also plotted are mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB) from the East Pacific Rise (Niu & Batiza, 1997), basalts from the Mexican Basin and Range Province (Lahr et al., 1989, 1995a, 1995b; Gómez-Tuena et al., 2003) and Popocatepetl volcanic rocks (Schaaf et al., 2005).
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SITE SELECTION AND SAMPLING

Textal Flow and V. Chichinautzin are monogenetic volcanoes of the Holocene Sierra Chichinautzin Volcanic Field (SCVF) in central Mexico (Fig. 1) that erupted within 6 km of each other. They belong to a cluster of OIB-type volcanoes that includes the volcanoes Xitle, La Cima, Yololica and Cajete. These volcanoes erupt olivine-phyric, mildly Na-alkaline arc basalts and basaltic andesites (Wallace & Carmichael, 1999; Siebe et al., 2004a; Straub et al., 2008) approximately halfway between the calc-alkaline composite volcanoes Popocatépetl and Nevado de Toluca (Wallace & Carmichael, 1999). Siebe et al. (2004a, 2004b) published the first geological maps of Textal Flow and V. Chichinautzin, which were further refined in the present study (Fig. 1). Sampling took place during five annual field campaigns between January 2004 and January 2009. Each campaign was followed by bulk-rock analyses for major and trace elements, which were then used to direct sampling in the following year. This strategy ensured recognition and characterization of three and four successive erupted units at Textal Flow and V. Chichinautzin, respectively, by means of stratigraphic position and rock chemistry. Petrographic differences between the eruptive units are subtle and secure identification of an eruptive unit requires chemical analysis. The volcanic rocks are typically dark to medium gray, dense to vesicular, and have phenocrysts of olivine with inclusions of Cr-spinel (see also Siebe et al., 2004a). The size and volume percentage of olivine phenocrysts varies. In general, olivines tend to be largest (≥1 mm) and most abundant (up to 7 vol. %) in MgO-rich samples, and gradually decrease with increasing melt silica content to sizes <0.5 mm and abundances <1 vol. % in silicic basaltic andesites (Siebe et al., 2004a; this study). Plagioclase phenocrysts appear only in some of the most silicic samples. Siebe et al. (2004a) reported also some clino- and orthopyroxene microphenocrysts.

Textal Flow

Siebe et al. (2004a, 2004b) viewed the Textal Flow basalts as part of the Guespalapa Volcanic Complex, which formed between 2800 and 4700 yr. Our data show, however, that the Textal Flow originates from two younger volcanic fissure vents (western and eastern Cuescomates vents) that breached the SW flank of the calc-alkaline Guespalapa shield at ~700 m [age data from Siebe et al., 2004b] (Fig. 1). The Nb-rich (17–26 ppm Nb) Textal Flow basalts are clearly different from the basaltic andesitic and andesitic lavas of the Guespalapa shield, which have low Nb (~4–9 ppm), despite similar enrichment levels of the LILE (Siebe et al., 2004a; Straub et al., 201b). The Textal lavas flow downhill to the south over a length of 24 km onto the plain of Cuernavaca (Siebe et al., 2004b). The thickness and hence the erupted volume of the Textal Flow are unknown, but the erupted volume is thought to be similar to that of V. Chichinautzin (Siebe et al., 2004b). Stratigraphy and bulk-rock compositions indicate three different, successively erupted magma batches. The oldest Textal lavas (‘old Textal flow’, three samples) belong to an olivine-phyric flow that is mostly buried beneath the later flows. The second stage (‘main Textal flow’, five samples) produced far more voluminous flows, which are petrographically indistinguishable from the old Textal flow and make up the bulk of the Textal Flow outside the Cuescomates vent area. Subtle chemical differences suggest additional zoning within the main Textal Flow, but these were not pursued further. The Cuescomates vent lavas (‘vent lavas’, seven samples) erupted in the waning stage. The vent lavas are either plagioclase-olivine-phyric, or aphyric, but do not show any significant difference in chemistry, including MgO and Ni, which are sensitive to olivine loss from the melt. The vent magmas are either emplaced as lava flows in proximity to the fissure vents or form spatter cones several meters high.

Volcan Chichinautzin

With an eruptive volume of at least ~0.55–1.1 km³ and an eruptive age of 183 yr, V. Chichinautzin is one of the youngest and largest monogenetic volcanoes of the SCVF (Siebe et al., 2004a). The basaltic andesites of V. Chichinautzin form a shallow shield on which a younger cone has been constructed (Siebe et al., 2004a, 2004b). Based on stratigraphy, petrography and composition, four eruptive units have been distinguished (Fig. 1). The oldest group (‘distal lava flows’, six samples) consists of lava flows that extend up to 9 km northward and up to 4 km eastward from the base of the Chichinautzin shield (Siebe et al., 2004a, 2004b). The distal lava flows are olivine-phyric and form thin (~0.5–1 m) flow units of pahoehoe-like appearance. The second group (‘shield lavas’, seven samples) forms the gently sloping basal shield between ~3200 and ~3190 m above sea level. Southwards, the shield lavas flow downhill towards the city of Cuernavaca. The petrography of the shield lavas is very similar to that of the older flows, with abundant quartz–pyroxene gneissocrysts. The third group (‘cone lavas’, seven samples) builds the younger cone with steeper flanks on top of the shield, and includes the prominent western rim of V. Chichinautzin. The cone lavas are olivine–plagioclase-phyric, more viscous lavas that resemble aa flows. The fourth group (‘summit lavas’, four samples) is volumetrically by far the smallest group. It comprises the youngest lavas, which constructed a small cone on the eastern rim and fill the summit bowl, and may spill onto the slopes of the upper cone in the east and...
west. The summit lavas also resemble aa flows, but unlike in the cone lavas, plagioclase dominates over olivine.

**Samples and analytical methods**

We obtained 13 samples from Texcal Flow and 24 samples from V. Chichinautzin (Table 1). Bulk-rock powders were analyzed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and direct current plasma emission spectroscopy methods for major elements (35 samples, excluding two samples from Texcal Flow) at the Geospatial Laboratory of Washington State University, USA and at the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences (Langmuir Laboratory) at Harvard University, USA. Trace elements Pb, Sc, V, Ni, Cr, Co, Li, Be, Cs, Ba, U, Th, Nb, Ta, La, Ce, Pb, Pt, Sr, Nd, Sm, Hf, Zr, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Y, Ho, Er, Yb and Lu for 37 samples were determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) methods at the Centro de Geociencias (CGEO, 25 samples), Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, Queretaro, Mexico and at the Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences (Langmuir Laboratory) at Harvard University, USA. Trace elements P, Sr, Nd, Sm, Ba, Th, Pb, Cs, Pb, Lu, Y, Lu, Hf, Zr, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Y, Ho, Er, Yb and Lu from V. Chichinautzin (Table 1) were analyzed by X-ray fluorescence (XRF) and direct current mass spectrometry at the Institute for Earth Sciences (IES), Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan (25 samples), and at the Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University in Palisades, USA (five samples) (Table 1). Subsets of samples for major and trace elements and radiogenic isotopes were analyzed in either laboratory to preclude interlaboratory bias. Details can be found in the Supplementary Data together with details of sample preparation, analytical methods and standard information (available for downloading at http://petrology.oxfordjournals.org/).

Some of the whole-rock abundance data have been previously reported by Straub et al. (2011a) as indicated in Table 1. Straub et al. (2011a) also reported 3He/4He ratios by thermal ionization mass spectrometry at the Institute for Earth Sciences (IES), Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan (25 samples), and at the Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University in Palisades, USA (five samples) (Table 1). Subsets of samples for major and trace elements and radiogenic isotopes were analyzed in either laboratory to preclude interlaboratory bias. Details can be found in the Supplementary Data together with details of sample preparation, analytical methods and standard information (available for downloading at http://petrology.oxfordjournals.org/).

Some of the whole-rock abundance data have been previously reported by Straub et al. (2011a) as indicated in Table 1. Straub et al. (2011a) also reported 3He/4He ratios by thermal ionization mass spectrometry at the Institute for Earth Sciences (IES), Academia Sinica, Taipei, Taiwan (25 samples), and at the Lamont–Doherty Earth Observatory of Columbia University in Palisades, USA (five samples) (Table 1). Subsets of samples for major and trace elements and radiogenic isotopes were analyzed in either laboratory to preclude interlaboratory bias. Details can be found in the Supplementary Data together with details of sample preparation, analytical methods and standard information (available for downloading at http://petrology.oxfordjournals.org/).

**RESULTS**

**Temporal trends in the bulk-rocks of Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin**

**Major element oxides**

The major element oxide compositional variations are presented in Fig. 2. Texcal Flow eruptive rocks are basalts (49.4–52.6 wt % SiO₂; 6.7–8.5 wt % MgO) whereas those from V. Chichinautzin are basaltic andesites (52.8–57.2 wt % SiO₂; 3.2–6.8 wt % MgO). Together they form a fairly coherent series that ranges from high-Mg# ~68 magmas close to equilibrium with mantle melts to low-Mg# ~50 magmas that are comparable with the upper continental crust (Rudnick & Gao, 2002). As in other Mexican monogenetic volcanoes (Wilcox, 1954; Luhr & Carmichael, 1985; McBirney et al., 1987), and as reported previously (Siebe et al., 2004a), silica increases with time in both volcanoes. The high sample density, however, reveals for the first time that the trends are not continuous, but form ‘clusters’ defined by the eruptive units. The ‘clustering’ is not a sampling artifact, because the sample locations are well distributed over the volcanic edifices with no indication of transitional compositions near the boundaries of the stratigraphic units. It should be noted also that within some stratigraphic units of V. Chichinautzin single clusters of data for SiO₂ vs Mg# define trends at an angle to the overall trend of the data. Only at V. Chichinautzin does SiO₂ increase steadily with decreasing Mg# and Ni within the overall trend of the data. At Texcal Flow, SiO₂ first increases as Mg# and Ni decrease, but the youngest, partly aphyric Texcal magmas have the highest SiO₂, Mg# and Ni (light coloured squares in Fig. 2). Examination of other major elements shows additional complexity. The trends in CaO are not smooth, and the K₂O, P₂O₅ and TiO₂ trends are not consistent with a single liquid line of descent. These observations already indicate that the silicic low-Mg# magmas may not be derivative melts (i.e. magma derived by fractional crystallization) from a single high-Mg# parental magma composition.

**Trace elements**

The high-Mg# magmatic rocks of Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin have the high Ni = 200 ppm and Cr = 300 ppm typical of the central MVB. Incompatible trace elements normalized to primitive mantle of McDonough & Sun (1995) reveal no, or only incipient ‘arc-type’ trace element signatures (Fig. 3). [Note that strong relative enrichments of Pb and Cs appear when normalized to primitive mantle, or normal mid-ocean ridge basalt (N-MORB), of Sun & McDonough (1989)]. The arc-type signature increases with increasing melt SiO₂ as relative depletions of Nb, Ta and Ti develop together with incipient relative enrichments of Cs, Ba, U and Pb (Fig. 4). However, the emergence of the arc signature does not imply an increase of the abundance level. The abundance of most incompatible elements first increases with increasing melt SiO₂, but mostly peaks in the shield and cone lavas of V. Chichinautzin prior to decreasing in the most silicic summit lavas that have the strongest arc signature. A striking exception is Sr, which remains nearly constant in abundance despite the variation of the other LILE.

Relative to the pyrolite of McDonough & Sun (1995), La/Smn values (normalized to pyrolite) of the Texcal Flow
### Table 1: Abundances of major and trace elements, and Sr and Nd isotope ratios of Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stratigraphic unit</th>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Laboratory¹</th>
<th>Longitude (W)</th>
<th>Latitude (N)</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na₂O</th>
<th>K₂O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### V. Chichinautzin

**Distal flows**

- MCH-06-5: WSU/CGEO 99'04'20" 19'06'02" 53.49 1 90 15 99 9.60 0.16 6.75 0.97 3.94 1.46
- CH-08-5: WSU/CGEO 99'04'38" 19'05'29" 53.25 1 191 16 06 9.52 0.16 6.56 7.00 3.98 1.49
- MCH-06-4: WSU/CGEO 99'08'14" 19'07'47" 53.30 1 192 16 04 9.46 0.16 6.55 7.04 3.97 1.47
- MCH-06-2: WSU/CGEO 99'10'27" 19'06'25" 53.09 1 193 15 85 9.85 0.16 6.58 7.05 3.91 1.44
- MCH-06-3: WSU/CGEO 99'08'40" 19'08'06" 53.42 1 194 16 09 9.59 0.16 6.53 7.05 3.93 1.46
- MCH-06-1: WSU/CGEO 99'10'50" 19'06'50" 53.34 1 195 15 94 9.74 0.16 6.50 7.10 3.93 1.46

**Shield**

- ASC1_S: Harvard 99'09'08" 19'01'06" 52.83 1 187 15 81 9.58 0.16 5.91 6.95 3.86 1.43
- ASC1_A: Harvard 99'09'08" 19'01'06" 53.30 1 189 15 95 9.60 0.16 5.76 6.90 3.90 1.46

**Cone**

- CH-08-17: WSU/CGEO 99'08'27" 19'05'20" 54.73 1 172 17 20 8.22 0.14 3.94 7.12 4.08 1.45
- S3: Harvard 99'08'57" 19'05'41" 55.06 1 171 16 93 8.33 0.14 4.15 7.18 3.98 1.46
- S6: Harvard 99'08'57" 19'06'41" 54.84 1 170 17 09 8.30 0.14 4.06 7.13 4.02 1.48
- S2: Harvard 99'08'21" 19'06'18" 54.92 1 172 17 17 8.21 0.14 3.98 7.12 4.06 1.47
- S4: Harvard 99'08'34" 19'05'21" 54.81 1 173 17 51 8.25 0.15 3.89 7.14 4.15 1.51
- S5: Harvard 99'08'43" 19'05'27" 54.67 1 174 17 16 8.39 0.15 4.29 7.37 4.01 1.51

**Main flow**

- CH-05-16: WSU/CGEO 99'12'21" 19'04'23" 52.62 1 174 16 30 8.87 0.14 8.13 7.49 3.94 1.35
- CH-05-13: WSU/CGEO 99'12'08" 19'04'30" 1.72
- CH-05-15: WSU/CGEO 99'12'17" 19'04'29" 1.71
- CH-05-12: WSU/CGEO 99'12'15" 19'04'30" 51.92 1 171 16 01 9.03 0.14 8.53 7.29 3.82 1.29
- CH-08-1: WSU/CGEO 99'11'35" 19'04'27" 51.97 1 173 16 23 8.95 0.14 8.18 7.35 3.81 1.33
- CH-08-2: WSU/CGEO 99'11'35" 19'04'27" 52.13 1 174 16 87 8.86 0.14 8.05 7.46 3.92 1.36

**Texcal Flow**

- CH-07-16: WSU/CGEO 99'12'21" 19'04'23" 52.62 1 174 16 30 8.87 0.14 8.13 7.49 3.94 1.35
- CH-07-13: WSU/CGEO 99'12'08" 19'04'30" 1.72
- CH-07-15: WSU/CGEO 99'12'17" 19'04'29" 1.71
- CH-07-12: WSU/CGEO 99'12'15" 19'04'30" 51.92 1 171 16 01 9.03 0.14 8.53 7.29 3.82 1.29
- CH-08-1: WSU/CGEO 99'11'35" 19'04'27" 51.97 1 173 16 23 8.95 0.14 8.18 7.35 3.81 1.33
- CH-08-2: WSU/CGEO 99'11'35" 19'04'27" 52.13 1 174 16 87 8.86 0.14 8.05 7.46 3.92 1.36

(continued)
### Table I: Continued

| Sample no. | P_{2}O_{5} | LOI | Sum | Mg$^{2+}$ | Qtz$^{4}$ | Oliv$^{4}$ | Neph$^{4}$ | Sc | V | Ni | Cr | Co | Li | Be | Cs | Ba | U | Tl | Rb | Th | Nb |
|------------|------------|-----|-----|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| V. Chichinautzin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MCH-06-5 | 0.84 | -0.20 | 100.2 | 63 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 143 | 133 | 208 | 30.1 | 16.1 | 2.34 | 1.01 | 471 | 1.04 | 0.17 | 28.9 | 3.47 | 33.1 |
| CH-08-5 | 0.84 | 99.8 | 62 | 0.8 | 20.2 | 145 | 131 | 216 | 30.0 | 14.9 | 2.44 | 0.97 | 475 | 1.08 | 0.19 | 28.8 | 3.58 | 32.9 |
| MCH-06-4 | 0.85 | -0.24 | 99.9 | 63 | 0.1 | 20.4 | 145 | 130 | 215 | 29.7 | 13.7 | 2.36 | 1.01 | 473 | 1.02 | 0.17 | 28.9 | 3.48 | 33.4 |
| MCH-06-2 | 0.90 | -0.35 | 99.8 | 62 | 0.0 | 20.4 | 148 | 129 | 208 | 30.4 | 16.0 | 2.45 | 1.07 | 479 | 1.05 | 0.18 | 29.4 | 3.49 | 33.8 |
| MCH-06-3 | 0.84 | -0.14 | 100.0 | 62 | 0.2 | 20.6 | 147 | 127 | 209 | 29.9 | 12.5 | 2.45 | 0.99 | 471 | 1.05 | 0.16 | 28.7 | 3.48 | 33.5 |
| MCH-06-1 | 0.90 | -0.27 | 100.1 | 62 | 0.1 | 20.9 | 149 | 126 | 209 | 29.7 | 16.0 | 2.48 | 1.08 | 483 | 1.06 | 0.18 | 29.7 | 3.50 | 34.0 |
| ASC1.1 | 0.97 | 0.00 | 99.4 | 60 | 1.4 | 21.9 | 149 | 100 | 199 | 27.7 | 16.4 | 2.56 | 1.06 | 490 | 1.08 | 0.17 | 30.0 | 3.59 | 34.5 |
| ASC1.6 | 0.98 | 99.9 | 59 | 1.8 | 22.0 | 150 | 96 | 185 | 27.1 | 16.0 | 2.49 | 1.07 | 483 | 1.09 | 0.17 | 30.4 | 3.61 | 34.4 |

### Textual Flow

CH-05-16 0.56 -0.18 100.2 69 8.9 21.5 | 157 | 179 | 256 | 35.2 | 11.9 | 2.00 | 0.88 | 371 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 26.1 | 3.15 | 26.1 |

S12B 0.58 99.7 69 8.3 22.4 | 157 | 187 | 303 | 35.8 | 12.4 | 1.93 | 0.86 | 358 | 0.90 | 0.14 | 25.6 | 3.10 | 25.3 |

CH-05-13 0.58 | n.a. | 21.2 | 152 | 192 | 257 | 36.5 | 9.5 | 0.25 | 0.86 | 374 | 0.92 | 0.18 | 25.5 | 3.14 | 26.0 |

CH-05-15 0.60 | n.a. | 21.0 | 152 | 183 | 241 | 34.0 | 11.6 | 1.95 | 0.88 | 371 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 26.1 | 3.14 | 25.8 |

CH-05-12 0.55 0.26 99.4 70 9.5 21.2 | 152 | 203 | 266 | 37.1 | 11.8 | 1.95 | 0.86 | 364 | 0.91 | 0.11 | 25.2 | 3.10 | 25.7 |

CH-08-1 0.56 99.4 69 8.6 20.6 | 148 | 185 | 289 | 35.2 | 10.4 | 1.99 | 0.83 | 363 | 0.91 | 0.13 | 24.9 | 3.10 | 25.1 |

CH-08-2 0.55 100.2 69 10.4 20.9 | 151 | 181 | 282 | 36.1 | 10.8 | 2.00 | 0.84 | 368 | 0.92 | 0.14 | 25.5 | 3.14 | 25.5 |

MCH-06-10 0.55 -0.40 100.7 63 10.7 25.6 | 175 | 85 | 215 | 32.6 | 11.3 | 1.99 | 0.53 | 275 | 0.68 | 0.07 | 18.8 | 2.27 | 24.0 |

ASC46B 0.53 -0.27 100.7 63 10.5 25.5 | 173 | 91 | 228 | 33.2 | 11.3 | 1.95 | 0.65 | 271 | 0.74 | 0.10 | 19.5 | 2.27 | 23.7 |

CH-08-4 0.54 100.0 64 12.8 23.9 | 162 | 99 | 247 | 33.0 | 10.5 | 1.94 | 0.62 | 262 | 0.75 | 0.10 | 19.4 | 2.25 | 23.4 |

CH-08-17 0.51 100.0 66 16.5 22.4 | 157 | 145 | 288 | 37.3 | 10.4 | 1.81 | 0.46 | 242 | 0.63 | 0.07 | 16.8 | 2.14 | 22.8 |

CH-08-18 0.54 99.4 66 15.3 23.7 | 163 | 138 | 296 | 37.1 | 10.6 | 1.96 | 0.58 | 259 | 0.75 | 0.09 | 19.1 | 2.26 | 23.7 |

CH-08-19 0.36 100.1 66 17.5 0.8 25.7 | 187 | 132 | 265 | 40.8 | 9.2 | 1.75 | 0.44 | 172 | 0.58 | 0.11 | 13.5 | 1.73 | 18.3 |

MCH-06-12 0.35 -0.32 100.5 65 16.5 0.5 26.5 | 192 | 119 | 252 | 39.8 | 9.2 | 1.73 | 0.48 | 174 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 14.1 | 1.74 | 19.0 |

CH-09-11 0.35 99.7 65 16.7 0.3 26.1 | 196 | 123 | 252 | 40.1 | 8.1 | 1.66 | 0.37 | 170 | 0.53 | 0.05 | 14.0 | 1.66 | 17.3 |

(continued)
Table 1: Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>Ta</th>
<th>La</th>
<th>Ce</th>
<th>Pb</th>
<th>Pr</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Nd</th>
<th>Sm</th>
<th>Hf</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Eu</th>
<th>Gd</th>
<th>Tb</th>
<th>Dy</th>
<th>Y</th>
<th>Ho</th>
<th>Er</th>
<th>Yb</th>
<th>Lu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V. Chichinautzin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-5</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>79.9</td>
<td>6.92</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>8.79</td>
<td>7.44</td>
<td>387</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>8.16</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>6.90</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-5</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>82.3</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>8.87</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>7.13</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-4</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>6.97</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>8.94</td>
<td>7.52</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>8.26</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-2</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>85.5</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>45.7</td>
<td>9.40</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>7.31</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>3.99</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-3</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>37.4</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>8.91</td>
<td>7.53</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>3.83</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-1</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>7.88</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>7.36</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>4.04</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC1_S</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>48.6</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>8.12</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>7.74</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC1_A</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>7.86</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>9.76</td>
<td>8.11</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>9.80</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>7.77</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(continued)
### Table 1: Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr$^5$</th>
<th>2 STEM</th>
<th>$^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd$^6$</th>
<th>2 STEM</th>
<th>$^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr$^5$</th>
<th>2 STEM</th>
<th>$^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd$^6$</th>
<th>2 STEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V. Chichinautzin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-5</td>
<td>0.704208</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.512757</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-3</td>
<td>0.704203</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.512775</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-1</td>
<td>0.704353</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.512749</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC1_S</td>
<td>0.704378</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.512767</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC1_A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S11</td>
<td>0.704490</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.512754</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S9</td>
<td>0.704493</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.512733</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S8</td>
<td>0.704528</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.512743</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3</td>
<td>0.704399</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.512745</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S7</td>
<td>0.704489</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.512734</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2</td>
<td>0.704475</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.512743</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4</td>
<td>0.704392</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.512746</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-07-18</td>
<td>0.704506</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.512733</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5</td>
<td>0.704386</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.512751</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1</td>
<td>0.704055</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.512801</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-07-16</td>
<td>0.704057</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.512799</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-07-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-07-15</td>
<td>0.704100</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.512795</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texcall Flow</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-06-12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S12B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-05-13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-05-15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-05-12</td>
<td>0.703811</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.512818</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC468</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCH-06-11</td>
<td>0.703607</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.512866</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-4</td>
<td>0.703592</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.512884</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-08-19</td>
<td>0.703061</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.512982</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-06-12</td>
<td>0.703087</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.512887</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-09-11</td>
<td>0.703053</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.512994</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Major element abundances in oxide wt %, trace element abundances in ppm; n.d., not determined. Total Fe given as Fe$_2$O$_3$. Selected data for the following samples have been reported by Straub et al. (2011): MCH06-5, S9, S8, S4, S1, MCH06-12, CH08-17, MCH06-11, CH05-6. The data reported are: SiO$_2$, FeO*, MgO, Ni, Sr, Nb, La, Gd, Y, Yb, and Nd isotope ratios.

1Laboratory where major and trace elements were obtained (Harvard, Langmuir Laboratory; WSU, Washington State University; CGEO, Centro de Geociencias).

2All data for samples ASC1 and ASC468 are from LaGatta (2003).

3Bulk rock Mg#, calculated assuming 18% ferric Fe.

4CIPW norm: Qtz, quartz-normative; Oliv, olivine-normative; Neph, nepheline-normative. Calculation with program created by K. Hollocher at the Union College of Schenectady (http://www.union.edu/PUBLIC/GEODEPT/COURSES/petrology/norms.htm).

5Sr and Nd isotope analyses from Institute of Earth Sciences, Taipei, Taiwan.

6Sr and Nd isotope analyses from Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory, Palisades, NY, USA.
and V. Chichinautzin are higher and range from $\sim$1.9 to 2.8. Like the pyrolite, however, the heavy REE (HREE) patterns are flat, with only marginally increased Ho/Lu = 1.1±1.2. Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin thus lack ‘garnet-signatures’ that might point to the involvement of silicic partial slab melts (‘adakites’) in their petrogenesis (e.g. Kay, 1978; Defant & Drummond, 1990; Gómez-Tuena et al., 2007a). This agrees with the mostly low SiO$_2$ <56 wt % (adakites <56 wt %) of the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin, as well as the high Y (31–45 ppm, adakites <18 ppm) and low Sr/Y (11–17, adakites >50).

Sr and Nd isotope ratios
The ratios of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr = 0.70305–0.70453 and $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd = 0.51273–0.51299 of the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin largely coincide with previously reported data (Siebe et al., 2004a). However, our extended dataset reveals for the first time that the Sr and Nd isotope ratios also differ systematically in the various eruptive units at each volcano (Fig. 5). The only exceptions are the V. Chichinautzin shield and cone lavas, which have similar Nd and Sr isotope ratios. $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd also correlate with bulk-rock SiO$_2$. In the Texcal Flow, SiO$_2$ increases with increasing $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and decreasing $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd. At V. Chichinautzin, this trend continues only in the distal flows, but reverses in the shield and cone lavas, so that the youngest and most silica-rich summit lavas have the least radiogenic Sr and most radiogenic Nd of all V. Chichinautzin samples. The Sr and Nd isotope data further corroborate the inference that the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magma series are not related by fractional crystallization, and must be derived from a heterogeneous source.

Composition of olivine phenocrysts
Melt heterogeneity as recorded by olivine phenocrysts
Olivine is ubiquitous as a phenocryst phase in Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin lavas (Straub et al., 2011a). Invariably, the olivines show zoning patterns that must have been produced by melt mixing. Olivine zonation ranges from normal to inverse and complex (normal and inverse) zoning (e.g. sample S8, Fig. 6; see also Electronic Appendix Table 1). Moreover, most samples have multiple olivine populations that cannot be related to each other by fractional crystallization (Straub et al., 2008, 2011a).

Overall, olivine zoning and diversity increase with increasing melt SiO$_2$ and are more prevalent in V. Chichinautzin than in the Texcal Flow. The olivines of the most primitive old Texcal Flow (sample MCH06-l2) exhibit only normal zoning, and melt mixing is indicated only by the straight trend in the Fe–Ni diagram, which differs from the curved trends produced by fractional crystallization (e.g. Fig. 7).

The Mg# of the melts in equilibrium with the olivines ($=$Mg#$_{\text{oliv}}$) can be calculated using the mineral/melt exchange coefficient $A_{D\text{Fe/Mg}}$ of Roeder & Emslie (1970). When compared with the whole-rock Mg#, these data show that the olivines in a given sample (small grey dots) extend well above and below the equilibrium band.
with an average of 7 ± 3 Mg\#_{oliv} difference in single samples. This range reflects the hybrid nature of Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas, which consist of two or more component melts, with slightly different melt Mg\#, that mixed incompletely prior to eruption [see detailed discussion by Straub et al. (2008, 2011a)]. However, the average Mg\#_{oliv} of all olivines in a single sample falls within, or close to, the equilibrium range (Fig. 8). This means that the bulk-rock represents reasonably well the average of the component melts that crystallize the olivines (Straub et al., 2011a).

There is no evidence that the olivine Fo content within a single sample was controlled by different melt oxygen fugacity based on Cr-spinel inclusions in the olivines of V. Chichinautzin samples ASCIA and ASCLS (Electronic Appendix Table 2). These two samples were taken from the same location, and both samples exhibit a bimodal olivine distribution with maxima at \simFo_{80} (low-Fo olivines) and \simFo_{83.5} (high-Fo olivines), respectively (Straub et al., 2008). The maxima plot above and below the equilibrium value for the bulk-rock that corresponds to \simFo_{81}. The Fe_{2}O_{3}/FeO ratio of Cr-spinel inclusions is protected by the olivine host and reflects the ferric/ferrous iron ratio of the melt at the time the Cr-spinel grew (Maurel & Maurel, 1982; Gurenko et al., 1996). Cr-spinels from both
low-Fo and high-Fo olivines yield identical ratios of $\text{Fe}^{3+}/\sum \text{Fe}$ in the melt; that is, $0.16 \pm 0.03$ (2σ, $n = 62$ Cr-spinels) and $0.18 \pm 0.03$ (2σ, $n = 39$), respectively. These are identical, within error, and argue against the existence of melt batches with different melt oxygen fugacities. Notably, these values are also similar to the melt $\sum \text{Fe}^{3+}/\sum \text{Fe}^{2+} = 0.13 \pm 0.04$ (2σ) obtained from Cr-spinel inclusions in the forsteritic olivines ($\sim \text{Fo}_{80}$) of Popocatepetl (Straub &
Martin-Del Pozzo, 2001) and melt $\sum Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} = 0.18 \pm 0.04$ ($\sigma$) obtained from Cr-spinels from a broader spectrum of central MVB magmas (Straub et al., 2008), all of which suggest that the central MVB magmas have similar melt oxygen fugacities.

**High-Ni olivines**

The olivines of Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin are 'high-Ni olivines', which have Ni contents that are well above those of MORB olivines at $<\text{Fo}_{80}$ (Fig. 7, Straub et al., 2011a). High-Ni olivines thus have higher Ni contents than feasible for olivines that crystallize from partial melts of peridotite. The high-Ni olivines have been interpreted to result from melting of 'reaction pyroxenite' rather than from a peridotite mantle source (Sobolev et al., 2005; Herzberg, 2011; Straub et al., 2011a). Sobolev et al. (2005) proposed that these 'reaction pyroxenites' form through infiltration of silicic melts derived from subducted eclogite into mantle peridotite. Infiltration of silicic slab components (silica-rich fluids or hydrous melts) into a peridotite subarc mantle is a natural consequence of subduction recycling (e.g. Kelemen, 1995; Hermann et al., 2006). Indeed, high-Ni olivines are ubiquitous in the central MVB (Straub et al., 2008, 2011a) where slab influence is evident in magma chemistry throughout the belt (e.g. LaGatta, 2003; Martinez-Serrano et al., 2004; Schaaf et al., 2005). An intriguing aspect of 'reaction pyroxenites' is that they may produce a broader spectrum of basaltic to dacitic melts dependent on their bulk silica content (silica-deficient vs quartz-normative) (Kogiso et al., 2004; Straub et al., 2011a). The presence of high-Ni olivines in the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas thus suggests that melt-rock reaction processes in the sub-arc mantle may play an important role in their petrogenesis.

**DISCUSSION**

The SiO$_2$ contents of the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas clearly exceed those of initial partial melts of peridotite mantle, which have melt SiO$_2 \leq 50$ wt % (Langmuir...
Any petrogenetic model must account for the high melt SiO₂ and its systematic variation with ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd.

No evidence for melt silica increase by fractional crystallization

The covariation of melt SiO₂ with ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd precludes the possibility that melt SiO₂ increases by closed-system fractional crystallization from a common basaltic parental melt (Fig. 5). This result is remarkable as some of the major element trends resemble those predicted for fractional crystallization (e.g., Green & Watson, 1982), and the decrease of TiO₂ and Fe₂O₃ with increasing silica has been linked to the fractionation of Fe–Ti-oxides from the melt (e.g., Plank & Langmuir, 1988).

On the other hand, the melt SiO₂ increase may be due to more complex fractional crystallization processes. For example, early ‘cryptic crystallization’ may produce silica-rich derivative melts from multiple low-SiO₂ (≤49 wt %) mantle-derived magmas with different ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and ¹⁴³Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd. Cryptic crystallization refers to phases that influence melt evolution without being physically observed (Langmuir, 1989; Davidson et al., 2007). In the central MVB, olivines mostly crystallize at mid- to upper crustal levels based on the H₂O and CO₂ contents of melt inclusions (Cervantes & Wallace, 2003; Roberge et al., 2009). Hence, early cryptic crystallization may occur in the lower crust (>0.8 GPa), and possibly even in the mantle (Yogodzinski et al., 1995). Given the crystallization temperatures of the olivines (calculated from average olivine and bulk-rock compositions after Roeder & Emslie (1970), with data from Straub et al. (2011a)), early crystallization must take place at temperatures >1150–1200°C.

To test whether ‘cryptic phases’ influence melt evolution, equilibrium olivine was added to the bulk-rock in 0.1% steps until equilibrium with Fe₉₈ olivine (maximum Fo observed). Although this correction is a simplification (as the bulk-rocks are hybrids rather than liquids), the approach is feasible because only small amounts of olivine need to be added (on average 7.6 ± 4%), and hence the effect on incompatible elements is negligible. The correction mostly affects melt MgO, but even melt FeO and SiO₂ change only within <3% relative, as \( K_{Si}^{\text{diln/melt}} \) (~0.8) and \( K_{Fe}^{\text{diln/melt}} \) (~1–2) are close to unity. All other elements are considered as perfectly incompatible. The corrected elements are denoted by the subscript ‘88’ hereafter.

The corrected melt compositions display a significant range from 49.0 to 55.2 SiO₂ wt %, but no correlation with SiO₂ emerges that points to early cryptic phases (Fig. 9). For example, garnet and amphibole are ruled out, because (1) Ho/Lu does not increase with melt SiO₂, (2) the most silicic melts have the lowest Ho/Lu observed, and (3) the highest Lu/Yb is not coupled with the lowest Gd/Yb (Davidson et al., 2007). Plagioclase fractionation is unsupported because Sr₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋₋ англишский
Fig. 9. Fractionation-corrected trends of major element oxides and selected trace elements. Correction was done by adding calculated equilibrium olivine to the bulk rock (or subtracting olivine in a few cases) in 0.1% steps until equilibrium with Fo$_{88}$ was achieved.
basaltic mantle melt (≤49 wt % SiO2 ≥10 wt % MgO), the proportion of the crustal material is fixed by mass balance (Fig. 10). Even in the most favorable case (highest possible SiO2 of the crustal component), the Texcal Flow basalts would already contain ~10−30% of crustal material, whereas at V. Chichinautzin the proportion of the crustal contribution to the erupted melts increased to ~40−70%.

Such amounts of crustal material in the erupted melts, however, are unsupported by the other data. For example, the reversal of the Sr and Nd isotope trend at V. Chichinautzin (Fig. 5) argues against melt SiO2 increase by increasing assimilation of ambient silicic crust into a common basaltic parental mantle melt. Moreover, even the most silicic V. Chichinautzin magmas have only weak arc signatures (Figs 3 and 4), which argues against significant uptake of strongly fractionated crustal components. Furthermore, the trends of some incompatible trace elements and their ratios with increasing melt SiO2 are exactly opposite to the mixing trends formed by crust−mantle mixing. For example, Nb/Ta is high and inversely correlated with increasing melt SiO2; Nb/Ta ratios of upper (UC), middle (MC) and lower (LC) continental crust after Rudnick & Gao (2002). The inverse correlation argues against progressive assimilation of a low Nb/Ta crustal component by mantle melts. Nb/Ta ratios of upper (UC) crustal component by mantle melts. Nb/Ta ratios of upper (UC) and middle (MC) continental crust after Rudnick & Gao (2002) (Fig. 11).

The strongest argument, however, against melt silica increase by crustal contamination is the high 3He/4He ratios of the olivines (Straub et al., 2011a), which are similar to those of MORB-type mantle (8 ±1 Ra; e.g. Farley & Neroda, 1998) (Fig. 12). Within the analytical error of ±1 Ra, 3He/4He is indistinguishable from MORB.

Fig. 10. Whole-rock SiO2 wt % vs MgO wt % for V. Chichinautzin and the Texcal Flow. Mixing end-members are indicated by stars. Mixing line is calculated with a mantle melt of SiO2 = 48 wt % and MgO = 10 wt %, and a crustal component with the highest possible melt SiO2 = 62 wt %, and MgO = 0.5 wt %. Dots on mixing line denote 10% mixing increments.

Fig. 11. Nb/Ta vs 143Nd/144Nd for the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin volcanic rocks. Error bar indicates the 2σ of Nb/Ta based on repeat analyses. The inverse correlation argues against progressive assimilation of a low Nb/Ta crustal component by mantle melts. Nb/Ta ratios of upper (UC), middle (MC) and lower (LC) continental crust after Rudnick & Gao (2002).

Fig. 12. Bulk-rock SiO2 (wt %) vs air-normalized 3He/4He of olivine separates for the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. Grey filled circles are data for other central MVB volcanic rocks (Straub et al., 2011a). External precision (2σ) of 3He/4He Ra is ±1. Run error is within the symbol size unless indicated. MORB range after Farley & Neroda (1998). Mixing trends are calculated with the parameters listed in Table 2. Dots on curves denote 10% mixing intervals. Percentages on mixing curves a and b indicate the percentage of crustal material in the mantle melt. Curve c is unrealistic as it requires the crustal component to have only ~10−20% of the He of the mantle melt, which is not borne out by any known data (Ballentine & Burnard, 2002).


**Table 2: Parameters for mixing curves in Fig. 12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO₂ (wt %)</th>
<th>³He/⁴He Rₐ</th>
<th>He abundances (cm³ STP g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Curve a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle melt¹</td>
<td>49·0</td>
<td>8·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crustal component²</td>
<td>75·0</td>
<td>0·1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹He abundance in mantle melt is equivalent to 5% melt from MORB source with He = 1·5 x 10⁻⁵ cm³ STP g⁻¹ (Allègre et al., 1986–1987; Sarda & Graham, 1990) and assuming perfect incompatibility of He during melting.

²Crustal component calculated with U = 0·568 ppm and Th = 2·61 ppm from MVB crustal xenoliths (see text for data sources).

Curve a: crustal end member has He equivalent to 30% of erupted magma volume of 1 km³; curve b: crustal end member has He equivalent to 0·0001% of erupted magma volume of 1 km³; curve c: assuming crustal component contains only 10% of He of the mantle melt (unrealistic).

SiO₂ and the olivines from the basaltic Texcalk Flow (~7·4 + 0·4 Rₐ, n = 4) are indistinguishable from those in the basaltic andesites of V. Chichinautzin (~7·3 + 0·3 Rₐ, n = 4). Such high ³He/⁴He values for the olivines, which represent the bulk-rock (Fig. 8), are inconsistent with the high sensitivity of U- and Th-depleted mantle melts towards the He-rich continental crust that has low ³He/²He (<0·1 Rₐ) from the ingrowth of radiogenic ³He from crustal U and Th (O’Nions & Oxburgh, 1988; Martelli et al., 2008).

The sensitivity to crustal contamination of mantle melts is supported by model trends (Fig. 12). The He abundances of mantle and crustal materials are difficult to constrain, but some reasonable assumptions can be made. We assume mantle He to be similar to the He = 1·5 x 10⁻³ cm³ STP g⁻¹ in the MORB source (Allègre et al., 1986–1987; Sarda & Graham, 1990). This maximizes mantle He, because OIB sources have less He (1986–1987; Sarda & Graham, 1990). This maximizes the ³He/⁴He of the crustal component was estimated from ingrowth of radiogenic ³He from crustal U and Th (O’Nions & Oxburgh, 1988; Martelli et al., 2008).

Importantly, the central MVB olivines mostly crystallize at pressures below 800 MPa, or <20 km crustal depth (Cervantes & Wallace, 2003; Robege et al., 2009). Thus, the high He/⁴He excludes assimilation in the lower crust. It could still be that the magma adsorbed upper crustal ³He after crystallization of the olivines (matrix contamination; e.g. Stuart et al., 2000). However, there is nothing in the isotopic and trace element data that supports matrix contamination. For example, Sr and Nd isotopes, both sensitive to crustal contamination, form a tight array (Fig. 13) that contrasts strongly with the variable ⁸⁷Sr/⁶⁰Sr and ¹⁴⁶Nd/¹⁴⁴Nd of the ambient crust. However, the deviations from the mantle field are consistent with, and better explained by, additions of a homogeneous component rich in crustal Sr and Nd recycled from the slab. This is supported by the Pb isotope compositions of central MVB magmas, which are consistent with a strong slab signal (Gómez-Iuena et al., 2003, 2007a; LaGatta, 2003; Martinez-Serrano et al., 2004; Schaaf et al., 2005). In summary, the high ³He/⁴He ratios of the olivines, in combination with all the other data, rule out crustal contamination as a cause of melt SiO₂ increase.

**Silicic mantle melts by melt-rock reaction processes**

*Outline of a genetic model for the Texcalk Flow and V. Chichinautzin*

If crustal processing does not increase melt SiO₂, the high melt SiO₂ must be a characteristic of the initial mantle melts. Building on the high-Ni olivines, Straub et al. (2011a) proposed that basaltic and dacitic initial high-Mg# (/>70) melts formed in the MVB subarc by preferential melting of segregations of silica-deficient and silica-excess ‘reaction pyroxenites’ contained in a peridotite matrix. Melt mixing during ascent then produced hybrid basaltic andesitic and andesitic high-Mg# melts, which

---

evolve at crustal depths to the low-Mg# magmas erupted by minor to moderate fractionation of mafic silicates (olivine, and possibly pyroxenes) and late-stage recharge mixing (Fig. 7b). This 'pyroxenite model' provides a genetic framework for melt evolution at T exal Flow and V. Chichinautzin.

A schematic illustration of the model is given in Fig. 14. We propose that silica-deficient and silica-excess 'reaction pyroxenites' coexist in the mantle source of both volcanoes, whereby the silica-deficient pyroxenite overlies the silica-excess pyroxenite. Such 'stacking' can be seen as a consequence of the successive addition of silicic components from the slab. Initially, only sufficient slab SiO2 is added to produce silica-deficient pyroxenites along the infiltration path. Re-use of this ascent path by later released silicic slab components (Hall & Kincaid, 2001) will gradually transform the lower part of the silica-deficient pyroxenite into silica-excess pyroxenite, whereby the pre-existing 'plug' of silica-deficient pyroxenite may prevent further reactive ascent of the slab components into the mantle wedge.

We propose that the time-progressive melt SiO2 increase at T exal Flow and V. Chichinautzin reflects sequential melting of such a zoned pyroxenite from top to bottom. In principle, the process is comparable with the draining of a stratified magma chamber that produces inversely zoned ignimbrites, with the key difference that T exal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas progressively drain a mantle, and not a crustal magma reservoir. First, melts from silica-deficient pyroxenites dominate and basaltic melts erupt that may contain component melts from the surrounding peridotite. With time, continued melting increasingly integrates high-silica dacitic melts from the deeper, silica-excess pyroxenite, and the ascending hybrid magmas gradually become more andesitic.

The melts from the silica-deficient pyroxenite are best represented by the T exal Flow magmas, which lack normative quartz (Fig. 15) and even have minimal normative nepheline in the earliest magmas (Table 1). In contrast, melts from silica-excess pyroxenite dominate at V. Chichinautzin. T exal Flow and V. Chichinautzin erupt

---

**Fig. 13.** 87Sr/86Sr vs 143Nd/144Nd for the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. Pacific MORB are zero-age data from mid-ocean ridge (GeoROC, 2011). Crustal xenoliths from within the MVB and adjacent basement outcrops (Ruiz et al., 1988; Roberts & Ruiz, 1989; Schaar et al., 1994; Lavor et al., 1999; Gomez-Tuena et al., 2003; Martinez-Serrano et al., 2004). Subducting igneous crust and sediment after LaGatta (2003) and Gomez-Tuena et al., (2007a).

---

**Fig. 14.** Schematic illustration of T exal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magma genesis. Genetic model is adapted from Straub et al. (2011a).
with 18% ferric iron in total Fe. CIPW norm calculation was performed with a program created by K. Hollocher at the Union College of Schenectady (http://www.union.edu/PUBLIC/GEODEPT/COURSES/petrology/norms.htm), with 18% ferric iron in total Fe.

![Image of a graph showing the variation of normative olivine and quartz in the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin volcanic rocks as a function of wt % SiO2.](http://petrology.oxfordjournals.org/)

This graph illustrates the variation of normative olivine and quartz in the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin volcanic rocks as a function of wt % SiO2. The data is plotted using a scatter plot with SiO2 wt% on the x-axis and the percentage of olivine and quartz on the y-axis.

either quartz- or olivine-normative magmas, except for some of the oldest distal lavas of V. Chichinautzin, which contain traces of normative olivine. Possibly, olivine-normative magmas may have erupted in the earliest stages of V. Chichinautzin and are now completely buried under the younger eruptive rocks. Despite this apparent separation, both volcanoes exhibit a range in melt SiO2. This range can be explained only if partial melts from silica-excess and silica-deficient pyroxenites coexist in the region of melt formation, whereby the bulk composition of the erupted integrated melt (quartz- vs olivine-normative) must reflect the relative proportions of dacitic and basaltic initial melts contributing to the ascending hybrid melt.

### Testing the pyroxenite model in trace element and isotope space

Below we discuss the variations in incompatible trace elements and ⁸⁷Sr/⁸⁶Sr and ⁴¹Nd/⁴⁴Nd in the context of this model. The pyroxenite model implies that the mantle source of the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin contains a significant amount of slab material. The proportion of slab material is constrained by the minimum amount of slab-derived SiO2 needed to transform mantle olivine to reaction orthopyroxene. This amount is fixed by the stoichiometric reaction SiO2 + (Mg, Fe)₂SiO₄ = (Mg, Fe)₂Si₂O₆. Assuming a background mantle of ~30–60% olivine, the resulting reaction pyroxenite (~30–60% reaction orthopyroxene, plus the original mantle ortho- and clinopyroxene) contains at least ~15–18% slab material. The percentage may increase as silica-excess pyroxenite forms, but may be lowered by integration of basaltic melts from the ambient peridotite. However, if only ~30% of the erupted melt mass originated from pyroxenite (and the rest from peridotite), the slab contribution in the magma would still be ~5–6%. Are these percentages consistent with the trace element patterns, and especially with the lack of a garnet signature in the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas?

### Trace element variations

At first sight, the nearly flat patterns of incompatible trace elements (Fig. 3) suggest only very minor additions of slab fluids rich in Li, Pb, Rb and K to an enriched background mantle (e.g. Schaaf et al., 2005). On the other hand, slab partial melts also transfer ‘melt-mobile’ elements [Th, Nb, Ta, Zr, Hf, light REE (LREE)] and thus blend with the sub-arc mantle in ways such that larger slab contributions are effectively concealed (e.g. Straub & Zellmer, 2012).

To test the latter possibility, we performed model calculations with REE and Y. These elements are melt-mobile, span a wide range of incompatible to moderately incompatible elements, and are sensitive to residual garnet. Moreover, their coherent behavior in petrogenetic processes balances to some extent the uncertainties inherent in the recycling modeling that involves many poorly known variables, such as the composition and residual phases of the subducted slab at arc front depths, the amounts of fluids and melts produced, as well as the partitioning behavior of single elements, which varies strongly with pressure, temperature and composition (e.g. Kessel et al., 2005; Klimm et al., 2008; Skora & Blundy, 2010). Thus, rather than deriving exact numbers, the modeling tests whether the REE and Y of the erupted magmas are compatible with the magnitude of the slab contributions implied by the reaction model. Modeling parameters are compiled in Table 3 and Electronic Appendix Table 3. Results are shown in Fig. 16.

The models first calculate the REE and Y contents of partial slab melts from the subducted sediment and basaltic igneous crust, respectively. A composite of the two slab melts is then added to the ‘background mantle’ (which is the subarc mantle prior to subduction modification). Then, partial melts of this metasomatized mantle are calculated, assuming solid source transformation to pyroxenite prior to melting. Figure 16 compares the modeled melts with four target compositions for the least and most silicic magmas from Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. Modeling and results can be summarized as follows.

1. Slab compositions are the terrigenous trench sediment from LaGatta (2003) and N-type MORB from Sun & McDonough (1989). The slab partial melts were calculated with the batch melting equation of Langmuir...
et al. (1992) and the experimentally determined bulk partition coefficients ($D$) of Skora & Blundy (2010) (sediment) and Klimm et al. (2008) (basaltic igneous crust). These $D$ values are for the elements La, Ce, Nd, Sm, Eu, Y, Yb and Lu, which have the advantage of having been determined in the same laboratory by similar techniques. In all models, the $D$ values were kept constant, and the extent of slab melting was always an arbitrary 5%. The composite slab component contains between 30 and 50% sediment melt. As expected, the single and composite slab components display strong garnet signatures, with HREE and Y being up to a magnitude lower than for background mantle.

(2) The pyrolite of McDonough & Sun (1995) was taken to represent the background mantle beneath Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. This choice is based on the fact that an ~3–5% partial melt of pyrolite closely resembles the old Texcal Flow, which is least affected by slab contributions (highest $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd, lowest $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr, least fractionated incompatible elements and lowest olivine Ni). Partition coefficients for mantle melting are from Donnelly et al. (2004). For the young summit lavas of V. Chichinautzin, partial depletion of the background mantle was assumed by previous extraction of a 7% melt, to simulate progressive mantle depletion by serial melting beneath a single volcano.

(3) Between 8 and 30% of the composite slab component was added to the background mantle. In all cases, the HREE of the background mantle dominate over any HREE added from the slab. Thus, the garnet signature of the slab melts vanishes, and the flat HREE patterns of the arc magmas are well reproduced.

### Table 3: Summary of trace element modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample no.:</th>
<th>MCH06-12</th>
<th>CH05-16</th>
<th>CH08-5</th>
<th>S1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volcano:</td>
<td>Texcal Flow</td>
<td>Texcal Flow</td>
<td>V. Chichinautzin</td>
<td>V. Chichinautzin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eruptive unit:</td>
<td>Old Texcal Flow</td>
<td>Cuescomates vent</td>
<td>Distal lava flow</td>
<td>Summit lava</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modal composition of metasomatized mantle (%)</td>
<td>GRT 0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
<td>0·0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLIV</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPX</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPX</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of sediment:igneous crust in composite slab component</td>
<td>30:70</td>
<td>30:70</td>
<td>50:50</td>
<td>50:50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite slab component in metasomatized mantle (%)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of melting of metasomatized mantle (%)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Least-squares fit</td>
<td>0·48</td>
<td>0·77</td>
<td>0·93</td>
<td>0·7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elements added from slab (%)</td>
<td>La 35</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce 31</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd 23</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm 16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eu 11</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y 2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yb 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lu 0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Other reservoir compositions and partition coefficients are given in Electronic Appendix Table 3. The least-squares fit assesses the fit between model and measured composition. For each element, the value of $x$ was calculated as follows: $x = [(\text{measured value} - \text{modeled value})/10\% \text{ of measured value}]^2$. If the average of $x$ for all elements was <1, the fit was considered satisfactory.
slab contributions, however, increase the LREE of the magmas, up to a point where the slab flux of LREE controls arc chemistry and especially so if the mantle was previously depleted by melting.

(4) Melting of metasomatized mantle was simulated by a batch melting model with partition coefficients from Donnelly et al. (2004). Residual phases are olivine, orthopyroxene and clinopyroxene, but no residual garnet was needed. As in the reaction model, the proportions of olivine and orthopyroxene were varied in the model, but there is no perceptible effect on the REE and Y contents of the melts because the mineral and melt partition coefficients for olivine and orthopyroxene are very similar. The agreement of the model with the 'target compositions' (which are the erupted magmas corrected for fractionation to Fo88) was tested by a least-squares fit (Table 3). The successful models shown in Fig. 16 require an extent of melting between 3 and 5%. However, larger extents of melting (up to 8%) are permissible, dependent on the choice of bulk D values and on the ratio of sediment to igneous crust in the composite slab component.

LREE mobility implies that other highly incompatible, melt-mobile elements, such as Nb, Ta, Zr and Hf, may also be transferred from the slab in significant amounts. For example, rutile-bearing eclogites may produce Nb-rich slab melts with high Nb/Ta (Stolz et al., 1996; Klemme et al., 2005; Gómez-Tuena et al., 2011) and account for the increasing Nb/Ta with decreasing 143Nd/144Nd in the melt (Fig. 11). There are also slab controls on Zr and Hf in arc magmas (Gómez-Tuena et al., 2011). Thus, the flat trace element patterns in the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin volcanic rocks do not contradict the presence of substantial amounts of slab melt in the source. Given the complex behavior of recycled elements during prograde slab metamorphism (Gómez-Tuena et al.,
and serial mantle melting (Straub et al., 2008), we limit further quantification to elements associated with isotopes.

Sr and Nd isotope variations

In $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr vs $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd space, the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin volcanic rocks follow a tight continuous trend (Fig. 13). This trend can be reproduced by a three-component mixing model with background mantle and subducted igneous crust (both with high $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd and low $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr), and trench sediment (low $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd and high $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr), following the same mixing steps as in the trace element model. Results are shown in Fig. 17 and modeling parameters are summarized in Table 4.

If the Sr/Nd values of the slab components are retained, the calculated trends are concave, but still pass above the data (not shown). The data trend can be matched, however, assuming that Sr/Nd ratios change during slab processing and melt or fluid release from the slab, which may happen in various ways. For example, sedimentary Sr from a thin sediment layer may become lost during the flat, prolonged subduction beneath the MVB forearc (Savov et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2010). Alternatively, the flux of Sr from the igneous crust may increase gradually with increasing subduction depth relative to Nd that is retained in the slab (e.g. Kessel et al., 2005). Also, the mantle may become impregnated by multiple 'fluid-like' and 'melt-like' slab components.

Closer inspection of the data reveals even more complexity. A single three-component mixing model can reproduce the isotope trends of both the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin, but it fails to account for the large range in Sr/Nd. The Sr/Nd of Texcal Flow basalts is much higher than the Sr/Nd of V. Chichinautzin, as well as the Sr/Nd of the bulk slab components (trench sediment Sr/Nd ~7-0, igneous crust Sr/Nd ~12-3) and background mantle [Sr/Nd ~16; primitive mantle from McDonough & Sun (1995)]. As Sr and Nd are not fractionated during mantle melting, the range in Sr/Nd must be related to the slab flux. Intriguingly, the variable Sr/Nd in the magmas reflects principally the Nd variability by a factor of 2.5, whereas Sr remains nearly constant (factor of ~1.4) (Fig. 3). Thus, the slab flux of Sr (but not necessarily $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr) must be buffered, whereas the flux of Nd is variable. Figure 3 also shows that the old Texcal Flow has the lowest Nd, but displays the largest excess Sr relative to the mantle. Thus, the source of the old Texcal Flow appears to contain more Sr than Nd from the slab, possibly in the form of a fluid-like component rich in unradiogenic Sr from the igneous crust, but with negligible Nd. As the slab flux continues, the slab component may become more 'melt-like' and also entrain Nd, whereas the Sr content remains constant. This evolution continues until Nd contributions from the slab reach a maximum in the shield and cone magmas of V. Chichinautzin, and the slab flux to the source of the V. Chichinautzin summit magmas re-assumes more 'fluid-like' characteristics (lower Nd). Thus, rather
than being a single, homogeneous component, the slab flux continuously changes in its element abundance, and presumably also isotopic composition.

There is no single calculation for modeling evolving slab components with variable Nd abundances. Figure 17 depicts possible solutions for the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin, respectively, that reproduce the observed $^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$, $^{143}\text{Nd}/{}^{144}\text{Nd}$ and Sr/Nd by assuming the release of multiple slab components with variable Sr/Nd (Table 4). The model calculation does not take into account the variability of slab flux to either source, but focuses on reproducing the different Sr/Nd of each volcano. To reproduce the high Sr/Nd of the Texcal Flow, pre-impregnation of the Texcal mantle is assumed by a Sr-rich fluid from the igneous crust (Table 4). To this mantle, a composite slab component (mixture of sediment and igneous crust) is then added that has lost $\sim 45\%$ of the sedimentary Sr, but contains excess Sr ($\sim 25\%$) from the igneous crust and retains preferentially Nd ($\sim 59\%$). For V. Chichinautzin, satisfactory models are obtained with a composite slab component that has lost $\sim 21\%$ of the sedimentary Sr, but gained $\sim 50\%$ unradiogenic Sr from the igneous crust. Regardless of the problem of reproducing the Sr/Nd of the erupted magmas, all models require high amounts of slab-derived Sr and Nd in the arc magmas. Only the old Texcal Flow has fairly low amount of recycled Sr ($\sim 38\%$ of the Sr in erupted arc magmas) and Nd ($\sim 31\%$). All the other Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas would derive $\sim 50^\%$ of their Sr and Nd from the slab. Thus, the slab flux controls the Sr and Nd isotope chemistry of the arc magmas.

A control of arc Sr and Nd by the slab flux accounts for the relation of $^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{143}\text{Nd}/{}^{144}\text{Nd}$ with melt SiO$_2$ (Fig. 5). In this case, the variations in $^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{143}\text{Nd}/{}^{144}\text{Nd}$ with time should simply reflect the variable proportions of Sr and Nd contributed from subducted sedimentary and igneous crust. The trend at Texcal Flow would then reflect the increasing melt-like slab flux of

---

**Table 4: Summary of Sr and Nd isotope modeling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>End member compositions</th>
<th>$^{87}\text{Sr}/{}^{86}\text{Sr}$</th>
<th>$^{143}\text{Nd}/{}^{144}\text{Nd}$</th>
<th>Nd</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Sr/Nd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Terrigenous trench sediment</td>
<td>0.708460</td>
<td>0.512520</td>
<td>23.40</td>
<td>164.1</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subducting igneous crust</td>
<td>0.702780</td>
<td>0.513070</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle wedge</td>
<td>0.702700</td>
<td>0.513080</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Texcal Flow model**

*Mixing of subducted sediment and igneous crust*

| Terrigenous trench sediment | 0.708460 | 0.512520 | 23.40 | 90.0 | 3.8 |
| Subducting igneous crust | 0.702780 | 0.513070 | 7.30 | 120.0 | 16.4 |

*Mixing of mantle and composite slab component*

| Pre-impregnated mantle wedge | 0.702700 | 0.513080 | 1.15 | 29.9 | 26.0 |
| Composite slab component | 0.704162 | 0.512752 | 5.7 | 111.0 | 22.2 |

**V. Chichinautzin model**

*Mixing of subducted sediment and igneous crust*

| Terrigenous trench sediment | 0.708460 | 0.512520 | 23.40 | 130.0 | 5.6 |
| Subducting igneous crust | 0.702780 | 0.513070 | 7.30 | 180.0 | 24.7 |

*Mixing of mantle and composite slab component*

| Mantle wedge | 0.702700 | 0.513080 | 1.25 | 19.9 | 15.9 |
| Composite slab component | 0.704123 | 0.512752 | 12.13 | 155.0 | 12.8 |

---

1 Terrigenous trench sediment from Deep Sea Drilling Project Site 467 after LaGatta et al. (2003).
2 Isotope ratios estimated from average zero-age East Pacific Rise MORB (PetDB, 2011); Sr and Nd abundances are for average N-MORB after Sun & McDonough (1989).
3 Isotope ratios based on mantle xenoliths from the Mexican Basin and Range Province (GeoROC, 2011); Sr and Nd abundances are for primitive mantle after Sun & McDonough (1989).
4 Sr reduced by 45% in sediment, assuming early loss of Sr beneath forearc.
5 Sr increased by 25% in igneous crust, assuming preferential release of Sr.
6 Sr increased by 33% in mantle wedge, assuming pre-impregnation by Sr-rich fluid from igneous subducting crust.
7 Nd reduced by 59% in composite slab components, assuming that Nd is retained in slab relative to Sr.
8 Sr reduced by 21% in sediment, assuming early loss of Sr beneath forearc.
9 Sr increased by 50% in igneous crust, assuming preferential release of Sr.
sedimentary Sr and Nd with time and continuing formation of silica-excess pyroxenite in the source. Although the beginning of this evolution is recorded at V. Chichinautzin, the later reversal in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ vs $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ space may reflect the exhaustion of the flux of Sr and Nd from the thin sedimentary layer that subsides with time in favor of the fluid-like Sr and Nd flux from the much more voluminous igneous crust below. However, silica-excess pyroxenites (which will eventually convert to silica-rich partial melts) continue to build up in the source, regardless of the changing slab flux of Sr and Nd. In other words, the time-progressive trends at Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin record the release of a sediment-rich component from the slab that is set against the background of a continuous slab flux from the igneous crust.

Each volcano has at least three eruptive units with distinct $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$. In the genetic model proposed, this would signify the multiple infiltration events of slab material that builds progressively the heterogeneous, zoned reaction pyroxenite. Possibly, more infiltration events occur that are not distinguishable in the isotopes. There is no information on the period over which pyroxenite segregations may form and how long they may reside in the mantle wedge prior to melting. It is conceivable that the reaction pyroxenites formed in the lower mantle wedge near the slab interface and became entrained into, and added to the buoyancy of, upwelling mantle. Once melting started, however, the pyroxenite segregations must be drained within the short life-time of monogenetic volcanoes, typically less than a few decades.

**Alternative models**

Other mechanisms have been proposed that may either increase melt SiO$_2$ or the Ni content of magmatic olivine. For example, the SiO$_2$ contents of partial melts of peridotite may increase by several wt % in the presence of water (e.g. Baker et al., 1994; Hirose, 1997; Carmichael, 2002). Although OIB-type MVB magmas tend to be less hydrous than calc-alkaline MVB volcanic rocks (up to 6 wt % H$_2$O; Cervantes & Wallace, 2003; Roberge et al., 2009), they still contain $\sim$2–3 wt % H$_2$O in the Sierra Chichinautzin Volcanic Field (Cervantes & Wallace, 2003a; Johnson et al., 2009). Weber et al. (2011) have suggested that mantle olivine may become unusually Ni-rich through diffusive uptake of Ni from percolating sulfide melts, which would result in melts with high-Ni olivine. In another model, Matzen et al. (2012) proposed a temperature dependence of $K_{\text{dNi}}$ that would increase the Ni content in magmatic olivine relative to the olivine in the mantle source. If such processes worked together in favorable ways, Ni-rich olivine could precipitate from hydrous, silicic melts.

However, there are problems. In particular, it is unclear how processes that are independent of each other can produce the coherent trends observed. There is no obvious reason why a temperature- or sulfide-driven Ni increase in magmatic olivine, hydrous melting and melt-like, Sr–Nd-bearing slab components should come together to form the observed temporal succession at Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. Moreover, melt inclusion studies reveal no relation between melt H$_2$O and SiO$_2$ content, as olivine-hosted melt inclusions from basaltic MVB magmas have similar high H$_2$O to andesitic magmas (Cervantes & Wallace, 2003; Roberge et al., 2009). Diffusive Ni enrichment by coexisting sulfide melts seems to be a spatially limited, micro-scale process that has been observed only in a single xenolith out of a whole suite (Ishimaru & Arai, 2008). The proposed temperature-dependent increase of the $K_{\text{dNi}}$ is insufficient to explain the range of magmatic olivine compositions in central Mexico, and may be less efficient in hydrous, silicic melts than in the anhydrous high-MgO picrites considered by Matzen et al. (2012). In contrast, the reaction pyroxenite model provides a plausible link between all these observations in time and space, and predicts a maximum Ni content for the magmatic olivines that agrees with the values observed (Straub et al., 2008, 2011a).

**Modification of silicic mantle melts in the overlying crust**

The pyroxenite model predicts the formation of high-Mg# >70 (corresponding to $><$Fo86) initial melts with basaltic to dacitic SiO$_2$ contents (Straub et al., 2011a). Thus, the lower Mg# values of the erupted Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas must be due to crustal processing, which is most probably associated with the fractional crystallization of olivine, or possibly pyroxenes (Straub et al., 2011a). This requirement underlines the importance of crustal processing of the initial Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas.

**Possibility of early pyroxene crystallization**

At low to moderate melt H$_2$O contents (approximately <2–3 wt %), pyroxene may be on the liquidus at lower crustal pressures (Weaver et al., 2011; Weber et al., 2011). In Fo–Ni space, the olivines of V. Chichinautzin display a gap of a few mol % Fo between the olivines observed (maximum Fo$_{86}$) and those presumed to be equilibrium with the initial mantle melts ($><$Fo$_{86}$) (Fig. 18). Although this gap can be bridged by only a few per cent of olivine fractionation, it may also signify early, cryptic pyroxene crystallization. Figure 18 depicts the possible trends of early ortho- and clinopyroxene fractionation in Fo–Ni space. Pyroxene loss does not much affect melt SiO$_2$, but lowers noticeably the melt Mg#, albeit at a lesser rate than olivine loss. Melt Ni decreases only slightly, as Ni is only moderately compatible in pyroxene (Beattie et al., 1991). The gap for V. Chichinautzin olivines can be closed by a few per cent of orthopyroxene fractionation ($\sim$5–7%); however, clinopyroxene fractionation would
need to amount to ~10–20 wt %, depending on the FeO and MgO content of the initial hybrid melts. Clearly, early orthopyroxene crystallization is feasible and must be considered. On the other hand, there are no correlations of CaO or CaO/Al₂O₃ with Mg# in the various eruptive units that would support a role for early clinopyroxene crystallization. Overall, the more silicic, viscous V. Chichinautzin magmas seem more prone to early pyroxene fractionation than the less viscous, more mobile basaltic T excal Flow magmas, which may pass more rapidly through the crust.

**Crustal filtering and modification of initial melt signatures**

Crustal processing also accounts for the higher melt Mg# values of the silicic young T excal vent lavas. In the simplest way, this is the consequence of a smaller loss of mafic phases relative to the earlier T excal magmas (Fig. 19). Variable fractionation of mafic phases can also cause the distal and shield lavas at V. Chichinautzin to have different Mg# values despite their similar SiO₂ contents. However, the mixing evidence preserved in the olivines suggests that the erupted bulk magmas are not simply derivative melts, but hybrids of two and more derivative melts that mixed after having experienced some olivine fractionation. Because the olivines had already crystallized (implying upper crustal depths), melt mixing most probably occurs by ‘recharge mixing’ involving mixing of existing and newly ascending magmas at upper crustal levels. Recharge mixing may be less efficient in the T excal Flow where the olivines of the various eruptive units are largely separated in Fo–Ni space, which argues against interaction of the magmas from the different eruptive units (Fig. 20). However, at V. Chichinautzin the olivines overlap widely along the same broad mixing and crystallization trend (Fig. 21), which could be due, at least in part, to protracted recharge mixing with the silicic, viscous V. Chichinautzin magmas prior to eruption.

Is crustal processing efficient in erasing the signatures of initial mantle melts? With their high melt SiO₂ contents of ~57 wt %, the young summit lavas of V. Chichinautzin should best represent the silicic partial melts from silica-excess pyroxenites. On the other hand, these magmas already have low Mg# values and olivine Fo and Ni contents (despite high ^3He/^4He) that are similar to those of olivines that would crystallize from partial melts of peridotite; only a few high-Ni olivines could be xenocrysts from earlier magmas. As such, the derivation of the V. Chichinautzin summit magmas from pyroxenites is substantially based on the interpretation of the earlier series, where the tell-tale high-Ni olivines are better preserved.

---

**Fig. 18.** Fo mol % vs Ni ppm for olivines in the T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin volcanic rocks. The variant of the genetic model in Fig. 7 depicts high-pressure fractionation of pyroxenes prior to olivine stabilization at shallow crustal levels. Starting melts are at 5·4 wt % MgO, 4·0 wt % FeO and 129 ppm Ni for high-Ni olivine and at 9 wt % MgO, 6 wt % FeO and 210 ppm Ni for low-Ni olivine. (See text for discussion.)
Clearly, this observation implies that a pyroxenite provenance may also be obscured in the low-Mg# andesites and dacites that prevail in other major Mexican composite volcanoes such as Nevado de Toluca or Volcan Popocatepetl.

The sources of major element oxides—mantle vs slab origin

The arc signature in Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas

In major element space, the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas exhibit a clear arc affinity relative to MORB or the enriched basalts from the Mexican Basin and Range Province (B&R basalts), which have been suggested to represent the subarc MVB mantle prior to subduction modification (Fig. 2; Luhr, 1997; Gómez-Tuena et al., 2003, 2007b, 2011; Blatter et al., 2007; Johnson et al., 2009). Key features are the enrichment in Na$_2$O and K$_2$O and the depletion in CaO in the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin lavas (Plank & Langmuir, 1988), and higher SiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ that are coupled with lower FeO, MgO and TiO$_2$ relative to the B&R basalts. The arc affinity is most obvious in SiO$_2$ vs Na$_2$O + K$_2$O space, where the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin eruptive rocks define a tight trend outside the field of mantle magmas trending towards the MVB andesites (Fig. 22). Given the high percentages (~5–30%) of slab material in the mantle source, it may well be that other major elements than SiO$_2$ were also added from the slab in significant amounts, in particular Al$_2$O$_3$, K$_2$O and Na$_2$O, which are the principal components of alumino-silicate slab fluids (e.g. Beard & Lofgren, 1991; Rapp & Watson, 1995; Kessel et al., 2008). On the other hand, oxides such as TiO$_2$ and P$_2$O$_5$ are well above the levels of arc magmas (e.g. Gill, 1981). Although their enrichment has been interpreted to signify a melt origin from enriched mantle sources (e.g. Wallace & Carmichael, 1999; Schaal et al., 2005; Blatter et al., 2007), the pyroxenite model provides other possibilities.

Interpreting the major element oxides

Given the fractionation of only some olivine (and possibly orthopyroxene), the fractionation-corrected element

---

Fig. 19. Bulk-rock SiO$_2$ vs Mg# of the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin. Continuous lines with dots are calculated olivine fractionation trends, with the percentage of olivine fractionation given in italics, and with the MgO and FeO* of the initial bulk melt indicated. Diagonally shaded field indicates the range of initial mantle melts after Straub et al. (2011a). The small effect on melt SiO$_2$ relative to the decrease in melt Mg# owing to the preferential uptake of MgO in olivine relative to FeO should be noted.
abundances shown in Fig. 9 must reflect the systematics of the initial mantle melts. Two groups can be distinguished. The first group shows inverse correlations with Si\textsubscript{88}, either continuously or separated by an offset (e.g. Fe\textsubscript{88} and Mg\textsubscript{88}). In the context of the pyroxenite model, these correlations would reflect mixing between the basaltic and silicic mantle melts. Basaltic melts have low Si\textsubscript{88} and high Ca\textsubscript{88}, Fe\textsubscript{88}, Mg\textsubscript{88} and Ti\textsubscript{88}, whereas silicic melts are rich in Si\textsubscript{88}, but depleted in Ca\textsubscript{88}, Fe\textsubscript{88}, Mg\textsubscript{88} and Ti\textsubscript{88}. The lack of enrichment with Si\textsubscript{88} further suggests that Ca, Fe, Mg and Ti in the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas were principally mantle-derived, in agreement with experimental data that show that these elements are not transported in silicic slab components (Beard & Lofgren, 1991; Johnson & Plank, 1999; Rapp et al., 1999).

The second group of elements comprises Al\textsubscript{88}, Na\textsubscript{88}, K\textsubscript{88} and P\textsubscript{88} which form no coherent correlations with Si\textsubscript{88}. Importantly, no correlations occur among these elements that could be attributed to partial melting of a homogeneous mantle source, such as co-correlations of Ti\textsubscript{88} with Nb\textsubscript{88}, Na\textsubscript{88}, P\textsubscript{88} or K\textsubscript{88} all of which are incompatible during melting of peridotite or pyroxenite mantle (Fig. 23). At Texcal Flow, Ti\textsubscript{88} correlates inversely with P\textsubscript{88}, Nb\textsubscript{88}, Na\textsubscript{88} and K\textsubscript{88}, which is opposite to predicted melting trends. At V. Chichinautzin, Ti\textsubscript{88} co correlates only with P\textsubscript{88}, Nb\textsubscript{88} and K\textsubscript{88} but not with Na\textsubscript{88}. Moreover, the relative increase in K\textsubscript{88} (11%) within the series is much less than the increases in Ti\textsubscript{88} (28%), Nb\textsubscript{88} and P\textsubscript{88} (both 42%), whereas melting systematics predicts that K and Nb should be more strongly enriched than Ti and P. There

Fig. 20. Variation of Fo vs Ni in olivine from the different eruptive units of the Texcal Flow. (a) Old Texcal Flow; (b) main Texcal flow; (c) Cuescomates vent lavas. Only olivine cores are shown for clarity. Continuous lines are examples of calculated trends of fractional crystallization.
is no evidence that residual phases in the mantle source may explain these inconsistencies. For example, the lack of correlation between Nb and Ti rules out rutile, whereas residual amphibole is unsupported by the incompatible trace elements as discussed above. Thus, the mantle source of the T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin must be heterogeneous with respect to these elements. The co-correlation of Nb, K and P with Sr and Nd isotopes (shown for 143Nd/144Nd in Fig. 24) points to slab-induced metasomatism as the cause of source heterogeneity. The relationship between Na and 143Nd/144Nd is ambiguous. Na increases slightly with decreasing 143Nd/144Nd at the T excal Flow, but the positive correlation at V. Chichinautzin seemingly argues against a slab origin. However, Na varies slightly with decreasing 143Nd/144Nd at the T excal Flow, but the positive correlation at V. Chichinautzin seemingly argues against a slab origin. However, Na barely varies relative to the much larger range of Nb and P. This may signify a constant, high slab flux of Na that was decoupled from the elements K, P and Nb. Lastly, Al does not co-correlate with K, P or Nb, nor with the Nd–Sr isotopes (not shown). The lack of correlation is not unexpected, because melt Al, like Si, is governed by other factors than incompatibility during melting. Thus, although

Fig. 21. Variation of Fo vs Ni in olivine from the different eruptive units of V. Chichinautzin. (a) Distal lavas; (b) shield lavas; (c) cone lavas; (d) summit lavas. Only olivine cores are shown for clarity. Continuous lines are examples of calculated trends of fractional crystallization. The possibility of early pyroxene fractionation is indicated. Overlap in olivines from different eruptive units, and the mostly low-Ni olivine in the silicic summit lavas should be noted.

Fig. 22. Variation of SiO2 vs total alkalis (Na2O+K2O) (in wt %). Dividing lines between alkaline and sub-alkaline fields after Irvine & Baragar (1971) (IB1971) and Kuno (1966) (K1966). The T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin volcanic rocks show a trend outside the field of mantle magmas towards the calc-alkaline andesites of Popocatepetl. Data sources and symbols as in Fig. 2.
Al is likely to be released from the slab to some extent, this effect is hard to detect in the erupted magmas.

In summary, we conclude that the major elements Si, K, Na, P and Nb (and possibly Al) were added in significant amounts from the slab to the mantle wedge. A slab addition of P and Nb implies that much of the apparently enriched ‘OIB-type’ signature of the Texal Flow and V. Chichinautzin is due to subduction recycling, and does not reflect an inherently enriched subarc mantle.

**Quantitative contribution and inferences on the composition of the subarc mantle**

Quantifying the proportion of slab-derived major elements in arc melts is difficult. Nevertheless, we attempt an estimate using primitive mantle as the background mantle. This choice of background mantle is also supported by the moderately incompatible element Ti that should be principally sourced from the mantle. In this case, the abundance of Ti in the melt depends only on the source composition and extent of melting \( F \), fraction of melt produced. Forward modeling of various N-type MORB sources (McDonough & Sun, 1995; Donnelly et al., 2004; Workman & Hart, 2005) shows that even at the lowest possible \( F \) values of a few per cent (Davis et al., 2011), the high Ti\textsubscript{88} of \( \sim 2 \) wt % cannot be produced. However, the Ti\textsubscript{88} can be reproduced by very low extents of melting \( (F=1–4\%) \) of a primitive mantle source (Sun & McDonough, 1989; McDonough & Sun, 1995). Interestingly, even primitive mantle is too depleted in Ti to produce the B&R basalts, which have mostly Ti\textsubscript{88} \( > 2 \) wt %.
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**Fig. 23.** Fractionation-corrected variations of Ti\textsubscript{88} vs Na\textsubscript{88} (a), K\textsubscript{88} (b), P\textsubscript{88} (c), and Nb\textsubscript{88} (d). The relationships cannot be attributed to melting of a homogeneous mantle source, which would predict co-correlations of these incompatible elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ti\textsubscript{88} wt%</th>
<th>Na\textsubscript{88} wt%</th>
<th>K\textsubscript{88} wt%</th>
<th>P\textsubscript{88} wt%</th>
<th>Nb\textsubscript{88} wt%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
K, Na and P all behave incompatibly during mantle melting. In this case, the difference in K, Na and P contents in melts from the background mantle compared with the abundances observed in the arc melt provides a measure of the leverage by the slab flux. K and Na are more incompatible in olivine than in orthopyroxene, and hence the peridotite–pyroxenite transformation will tend to minimize the slab signal. Assuming bulk distribution coefficients $D_K = 0.025$, and $D_{Na} = 0.5$ for melting, approximately 60% of the K$_{88}$ and 82% of the Na$_{88}$ in the erupted magmas would be recycled from the slab. Such a high slab flux agrees with the fairly high and constant melt abundances of K and Na, which favor a strong slab control on arc magma K and Na, rather than moderation through mantle melting processes.

It is more difficult to estimate the slab flux of P, because the $K_{DIP}^{Opx} \sim 0.1$ is higher than the $K_{DIP}^{Opx} \sim 0.03$ (Brunet & Chazot, 2001). This enhances the ‘slab signal’ by $\sim 30–40\%$ for the same amount of P in the source, because melts from ‘reaction pyroxenites’ are more enriched in P than peridotite melts (Fig. 25, Table 5). Thus, the high P contents of Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin—and their similarity to OIB-type basalts—may also reflect the lithological transformation of the mantle source. However, despite the ‘enhancement’, P needs to be enriched by up to twice as much as in primitive mantle to match the Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin levels. This suggests P addition from the slab, and as much as $\sim 17–50\%$ of the P in Texcal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas may be slab-derived. If the slab flux of P varied with time, then the gooseneck curve in P$_2$O$_5$ vs Mg# space could form (Fig. 2), which is reminiscent of a fractional crystallization trend.

Silicon and aluminum are major elements in the mantle. Slab-derived SiO$_2$ triggers the reaction to form pyroxenite
and hence—as outlined above—the erupted magmas may contain as much as 15–18 wt% of slab-derived SiO₂. Al₂O₃ is very probably entrained to some degree in the slab flux (e.g. Beard & Lofgren, 1991; Johnson & Plank, 1999; Kessel et al., 2005); however, we attempt no quantitative estimate, given the sensitivity of melt Al₂O₃ to other factors (pressure, H₂O content, extent of melting) than source abundances during mantle melting.

In summary, we propose that the abundance of major element oxides in the T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas are blends of slab and mantle materials. This conclusion is similar to that of Straub et al. (2008), with the only difference being that now P and Nb are also identified as partially recycled elements. The model emphasizes the importance of the slab flux relative to the mantle contributions, and attributes much of the arc-typical major element

---

**Fig. 25.** Modeled trends of P⁸⁸ vs Ti⁸⁸ for mixed peridotite–pyroxenite (a) and peridotite (b) mantle source lithologies. T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin data are compared with Popocatepetl (grey triangles), MORB (grey squares) and B&R basalts (crosses). Mineral/melt partition coefficients are from Brunet & Chazot (2001) and Adam & Green (2006). Model parameters are given in Table 5. Source abundances of TiO₂ and P₂O₅ are the same for a given model curve (1–4) in either lithology. Phase proportions are kept constant in peridotite, but the percentage of orthopyroxene increases at the expense of olivine in the mixed peridotite–pyroxenite source (see Table 5). The difference of P₂O₅ in the model curves (continuous lines with dots) in (a) and (b) solely reflects the lower K_{dP} relative to K_{dP,0}.
signatures to slab–mantle mixing, and not to crustal differentiation. It is entirely possible, however, that other ‘OIB-type’ arc magmas of the MVB may have weaker slab signals than the T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas, and hence provide more information about mantle composition (Gómez-Tuena et al., 2011).

CONCLUSIONS

The major results of this study can be summarized as follows.

(1) Zoned monogenetic arc volcanoes are an excellent means of deciphering the processes of magmatic differentiation in arc magmas.

(2) T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas are hybrids of initial basaltic to dacitic mantle-derived melts from pyroxenite lithologies that are moderately modified by processing in the overlying crust.

(3) The temporal increase in silica content in T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin magmas does not reflect fractional crystallization or crustal contamination, but is ultimately a consequence of the addition of silicic slab components to the mantle wedge.

(4) The major element contents of T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin lavas are blends of elements from the subarc mantle (Ti, Fe, Mg, Ca) and of elements contributed from the subducted slab (Na, K, P). Si, and possibly Al, is contributed in significant amounts from both of these reservoirs.

(5) The mantle beneath T excal Flow and V. Chichinautzin is at least as enriched as primitive mantle, but not as enriched as the mantle sources of the Mexican Basin and Range basalts.
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Table 5: Parameters for melting curves in Ti$_{88}$–P$_{88}$ space in Fig. 25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Ti</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mantle wedge$^1$</td>
<td>95 ppm</td>
<td>1300 ppm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral/melt partition coefficients$^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivine</td>
<td>0·10</td>
<td>0·013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopyroxene</td>
<td>0·03</td>
<td>0·1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinopyroxene</td>
<td>0·05</td>
<td>0·27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^1$Corresponds to abundances of primitive mantle from Sun & McDonough (1989)
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